

United States Patent and Trademark Office

1

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | F | ILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------|----------------------------------|------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 10/543,142 | 03/30/2006 | | Othmar Gaidosch | 23344 | 4103 |
| 535 | 7590 | 10/19/2006 | | EXAMINER | |
| | | RL F ROSS | VU, HIEN D | | |
| 5676 RIVER PO BOX 90 | | VENUE | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| RIVERDAL | RIVERDALE (BRONX), NY 10471-0900 | | | 2833 | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 10/19/2000 | 5 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--------------|--|--|--|--|
| Office Action Comments | 10/543,142 | GAIDOSCH, OTHMAR | | | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | | |
| | Hien D. Vu | 2833 | | | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply | ears on the cover sheet w | vith the correspondence ac | ddress | | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMUNI 36(a). In no event, however, may a rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MO cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this of BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | • | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on | | | | | | | |
| | action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| · | _ | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application. | | | | | | | |
| • | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | | |
| 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. | | | | | | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | | |
| 8) Claim(s) 1-9 are subject to restriction and/or ele | ection requirement. | | | | | | |
| Application Papers | | · | | | | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner | r. | | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce | | by the Examiner. | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing | (s) is objected to. See 37 C | FR 1.121(d). | | | | |
| 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attache | d Office Action or form P | ГО-152. | | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | • | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority documents | | | | | | | |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priori | | received in this National | Stage | | | | |
| application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | , | rossived | | | | | |
| dee the attached detailed office action for a list of | or the certified copies flot | received. | | | | | |
| • | | | | | | | |
| Attachment/e) | | | | | | | |
| Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | A) 🗖 1mam :: | Summary (PTO-413) | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | | s)/Mail Date | | | | | |
| 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | | nformal Patent Application | | | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Uther: | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/543,142

Art Unit: 2833

Election/Restrictions

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: Species 1, Figs. 1-8; and species 2, Figs. 9-11. The species are independent or distinct because their structures are different.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/543,142

Art Unit: 2833

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

Page 3

reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not

patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C.103 (a) of the other invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Hien D. Vu whose telephone number is 571-272-2016.

The examiner can normally be reached on 9-5.

HV

10/12/06

HIEN VU DDIMARY FXAMINER

Him Ulu